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ABSTRACT

The yielding of two-layer composite spherical pressure vessels under either internal or external pressure is investigated analytically in the framework of small deformations and von Mises yield criterion. It is shown for both pressure cases that depending on the material properties and sphere dimensions, different modes of plasticization may take place. Unlike the deformation behavior of a single layer spherical pressure vessel, yielding may commence at the inner layer or at the outer layer or simultaneously at both layers of the assembly.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The prediction of stresses in commonly used structures such as tubes, shafts, annular disks and pressure vessels is an important topic in engineering practice. The classical problem of a thick-walled spherical pressure vessel under different loading and boundary conditions has been investigated by several researchers in the past. Timoshenko and Goodier [1] derived the expressions of the stresses in a thick-walled sphere subjected to internal and external pressure. Mendelson [2] studied the elastic and elastoplastic deformation behavior of spherical pressure vessels under thermal and pressure loading. Noda et al. [3] derived the stress and displacement expressions of thick-walled spheres under various types of thermal loads. Jiang [4] studied the elastic-plastic response of such assemblies subject to internal and external pressures and radial temperature gradient. Bufler [5] investigated the laminated composite hollow spheres under pressure.

In recent years, analytical studies focusing on pressure vessels made of functionally graded materials (FGM) and multilayered pressure vessels were performed both in elastic and elastoplastic stress states. For example, Guven [6], You et al. [7], Eslami et al. [8], and Chen and Lin [9] treated the FGM spheres under different loading conditions in elastic stress state. On the other hand, Fukui and Yamanaka [10], Horgan and Chan [11], and Tutuncu and Ozturk [12] treated the internally pressurized FGM cylindrical pressure tube problem in elastic stress state. The elastoplastic response of FGM spherical pressure vessels was investigated by Akis [13], while Eraslan and Akis [14] investigated the elastoplastic response of FGM cylindrical pressure vessels, and Jahromi et. al [15, 16] studied the autofrattage of such assemblies. Besides these studies, both the mechanical and thermal stresses in the FGM cylindrical tubes were studied by several researchers such as Jabbari, Sohrabpour and Eslami [17] and Eraslan [18]. The closely related studies on the pressurized two-layer composite thick-walled tubes may be found in publications [19-22]. Finally, recent studies on spherical and cylindrical pressure vessels can be found in [23-26].

It is evident from the list of the existing literature that the investigation of the yielding behavior of the pressurized two-layer spherical pressure vessel problem by analytical means has not yet been done. It is therefore the main objective of this work to obtain a consistent analytical solution to predict the yielding behavior of such assemblies under pressure. The geometry considered in this study consists of two concentric thick spheres: A sphere layer of inner radius a and outer radius b and a sphere layer of inner radius b and outer radius c. This composite system is subjected to either internal or external pressure. The elastic behavior of the system is investigated analytically and the limiting pressures causing plastic flow are evaluated by the use of von Mises yield criterion. It is shown that, unlike the deformation behavior of a single layer spherical pressure vessel, yielding may start at the inner surface or at the interface of the assembly.

2. FORMULATION AND SOLUTION

Spherical coordinates \((r, \theta, \phi)\) are considered in this problem. In addition, infinitesimal deformations are presumed and the notation of Timoshenko and Goodier [1] is used. For a spherical symmetric deformation case \((\sigma_\theta = \sigma_\phi)\), the strain-displacement relations

\[ \varepsilon_r = \frac{du}{dr}, \]

\[ \varepsilon_\theta = \frac{u}{r}, \]

the Hooke’s law

\[ \varepsilon_r = \frac{1}{E} (\sigma_r - 2\nu\sigma_\theta), \]

\[ \varepsilon_\theta (= \varepsilon_\phi) = \frac{1}{E} [\sigma_\theta - \nu(\sigma_r + \sigma_\theta)] \]

*Sorumlu Yazar (Corresponding Author)*

e-posta: tolga.akis@atlim.edu.tr

Digital Object Identifier (DOI) : 10.2339/2017.20.1 9-16
and the equation of equilibrium
\[ \frac{d\sigma_r}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} (\sigma_r - \sigma_\theta) = 0 \]  
form the basis for the analysis. In these equations, \( E \) represents the normal strain, \( u \) the radial displacement, \( r \) the radial coordinate, \( E \) the modulus of elasticity, \( \sigma_r \) the normal stress, and \( \nu \) is the Poisson's ratio. A straightforward manipulation on the equations above leads to stress-displacement relations:
\[ \sigma_r = \frac{E}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)} \left[ \frac{2\nu}{r} \frac{du}{dr} + (1 - \nu)u'' \right], \]  
(6)
\[ \sigma_\theta = \frac{E}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)} \left[ \frac{u}{r} + \nu u'' \right], \]  
(7)
where a prime denotes differentiation with respect to the radial coordinate \( r \). Substituting the stresses from (6) and (7) in the equation of equilibrium (5) one obtains the governing differential equation for the radial displacement in a spherical pressure vessel. The general solution is
\[ u(r) = C_1 r + C_2 r, \]  
(8)
where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are arbitrary integration constants.

The stresses are then determined as
\[ \sigma_r = \frac{E}{r^2(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)} \left[ \frac{2\nu}{r} + (1 - \nu) \right], \]  
(9)
\[ \sigma_\theta = \frac{E}{r^2(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)} \left[ \frac{u}{r} + \nu \right]. \]  
(10)
For a spherical pressure vessel under internal pressure, the integration constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are determined using boundary conditions \( \sigma_r(a) = -P \) and \( \sigma_r(b) = 0 \) as
\[ C_1 = \frac{\frac{ab^3 P(1 + \nu)}{2(b^3 - a^3)E}}, \]  
(11)
\[ C_2 = \frac{\frac{a^3 P(1 - 2\nu)}{(b^3 - a^3)E}}. \]  
(12)
On the other hand, if the pressure is applied externally in radial direction, the boundary conditions read \( \sigma_r(a) = 0 \) and \( \sigma_r(b) = -P \), hence \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are obtained as
\[ C_1 = \frac{\frac{ab^3 P(1 + \nu)}{2(b^3 - a^3)E}}, \]  
(13)
\[ C_2 = \frac{\frac{-b^3 P(1 - 2\nu)}{(b^3 - a^3)E}}. \]  
(14)
For spherical symmetric case, the deviatoric stress tensor \( S_{ij} \) can be written as
\[ S_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_r - \sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\theta - \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_r - \sigma \end{bmatrix}, \]  
(15)
where \( \sigma \) is the deviatoric stress given by \( \sigma = (\sigma_r + 2\sigma_\theta)/3 \). The von Mises yield stress, \( \sigma_y \), may be expressed as [27]
\[ \sigma_y = \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{S_{ij} S_{ij}}, \]  
(16)
and the explicit expression can be obtained as
\[ \sigma_y = |\sigma_r - \sigma_\theta|, \]  
(17)
by carrying out summations over repeated indices. Yielding begins as soon as the yield stress \( \sigma_y \) becomes greater than the uniaxial yield limit \( \sigma_0 \) of the material and the elastic limit load is obtained from \( \sigma_y = \sigma_0 \). Studies showed that for a single layer spherical pressure vessel, the inner surface is critical for both internal and external pressure cases and yielding always commences at this surface. Hence, the elastic limit pressure \( P_e \) can be obtained from \( \sigma_0 = |\sigma_r(a) - \sigma_\theta(a)| \). For both cases, this limit is found as
\[ P_e = \frac{2\sigma_0(b^3 - a^3)}{3b^3}. \]  
(18)
This expression is identical with the elastic limit expression given by Mendelson [2]. As an example, a steel pressure vessel \( (E = 200 \text{ GPa}, \nu = 0.3, \sigma_0 = 430 \text{ MPa}) \) is considered. To present the numerical results, the following nondimensional variables are used: \( \bar{r} = r/l; \ \bar{\sigma}_r = \sigma_r/l; \ \bar{\sigma}_\theta = \sigma_\theta/l; \ \bar{u} = uE/l(\sigma_r/l); \ \bar{P} = P/l \). The inner radius of the assembly is taken as \( a = a/l = 0.7 \). For the internal pressure case, using Eq. (18), the elastic limit pressure is obtained as \( \bar{P}_e = 0.438 \). Using Eqs. (11) and (12), the dimensionless integration constants are calculated as \( \bar{C}_1 = C_1/l^3 = 3.19562 \times 10^{-4} \), and \( \bar{C}_2 = C_2/l^3 = 1.96653 \times 10^{-4} \). The corresponding stresses and displacement are plotted against the nondimensional radial coordinate in Figure 1. In order to monitor the commencement of the plastic flow, the nondimensional stress variable \( \lambda_y \) is introduced. In accordance with von Mises yield criterion it is obtained from \( \lambda_y = |\sigma_r - \sigma_\theta| \), which corresponds to the yield stress \( \sigma_y \) in the plastic core. Note that \( \lambda_y = 1 \) at the elastic-plastic border implying onset of plasticization at that location and \( \lambda_y < 1 \) in the elastic region. By following the variation of \( \lambda_y \) in Figure 1, it is seen that yielding commences at the inner surface of the assembly as \( \lambda_y(a) = 1 \). For the external pressure case, same steel assembly is considered with \( a = a/l = 0.6 \). The corresponding elastic limit pressure is calculated as \( \bar{P}_e = 0.522667 \) from Eq. (18).
Using Eqs. (13) and (14), the integration constants are obtained as \( C_1 = c_1/b_1 = -2.0124 \times 10^{-4} \), and \( C_2 = c_2/b_2 = -5.7333 \times 10^{-4} \). As a result, the profiles for the stresses and displacement shown in Figure 2 are drawn. Since \( \lambda_Y(a) = 1 \), yielding first begins at the inner surface of the assembly.

2.1 Two-Layer Assembly Subject to Internal Pressure

In two-layer composite spherical pressure vessels, same stress and displacement expressions are valid for both layers. However, these expressions contain four unknown integration constants: \( C_1 \), \( C_2 \) for the inner layer, and \( C_3 \), \( C_4 \) for the outer layer. For such an assembly subject to internal pressure \( P \), these constants are determined from the boundary conditions \( \sigma_r'(a) = -P \) and \( \sigma_	heta''(c) = 0 \), and the interface conditions \( \sigma_r'(b) = \sigma_r''(b) \cdot u'(b) = u''(b) \). Here the superscripts \( I \) and \( II \) denote inner and outer layers, respectively. The stress components and radial displacement for both layers can be obtained by the use of Eqs. (9), (10), and (8).

Application of the above mentioned four nonredundant conditions results in

\[
C_1 = \frac{a^1b^1P}{2E_2[E_2(c_1^1 - b_1^1)E_2(2b_2^1M_1 + a_1^1N_1) + (b_1^1 - a_1^1)E_2(2b_2^1M_2 + c_1^1N_2)]} \left[ (c_2^1 - b_2^1)E_2(2b_2^1M_1 + a_1^1N_1) + (b_2^1 - a_1^1)E_2(2b_2^1M_2 + c_1^1N_2) \right],
\]

\[
C_2 = \frac{a^2b^2P}{2E_1[c_1^1 - b_1^1)E_1(2b_1^2M_1 + a_2^1N_1) + (b_1^1 - a_2^1)E_1(2b_1^2M_2 + c_2^1N_2)]} \left[ (c_2^2 - b_2^2)E_1(2b_1^2M_1 + a_2^1N_1) + (b_2^2 - a_2^1)E_1(2b_1^2M_2 + c_2^1N_2) \right],
\]

\[
C_3 = \frac{a^3b^3P}{2(c_2^1 - b_2^1)E_1(2b_1^2M_1 + a_2^1N_1) + (b_2^2 - a_2^1)E_1(2b_1^2M_2 + c_2^1N_2)} \left[ (c_2^2 - b_2^2)E_2(2b_2^1M_1 + a_1^1N_1) + (b_2^1 - a_1^1)E_2(2b_2^1M_2 + c_1^1N_2) \right],
\]

\[
C_4 = \frac{a^4b^4P}{(c_2^1 - b_2^1)E_1(2b_1^2M_1 + a_2^1N_1) + (b_2^2 - a_2^1)E_1(2b_1^2M_2 + c_2^1N_2)} \left[ (c_2^2 - b_2^2)E_2(2b_2^1M_1 + a_1^1N_1) + (b_2^1 - a_1^1)E_2(2b_2^1M_2 + c_1^1N_2) \right],
\]

where

\[
N_1 = 1 + v_1, M_1 = 1 - 2v_1, N_2 = 1 + v_2, M_2 = 1 - 2v_2.
\]

The subscripts 1 and 2 are used to denote material properties \(( E, \nu, \sigma_0)\) of the inner and outer layers, respectively. Parametric studies showed that, unlike the deformation behavior of a single layer pressure vessel, different modes of plastic flow may take place. Plastic deformation may first begin at \( r = a \) (at the inner surface), or at \( r = b \) (at the interface). These two different modes imply the existence of a critical interface radius \( b = b_{cr} \) for which the plastic flow begins simultaneously in both layers. The critical interface radius \( b_{cr} \) and the corresponding elastic limit pressure \( P_e \) can be determined by simultaneous solution of the following two equations:

\[
[\sigma_r'(a) - \sigma_r'(a)] = \sigma_{01},
\]

\[
[\sigma_r''(b_{cr}) - \sigma_r''(b_{cr})] = \sigma_{02}.
\]

After some algebraic manipulations, the critical interface radius \( b_{cr} \) and the corresponding elastic limit internal pressure are obtained as
Making use of Eqs. (24) and (25), the critical interface radius and the elastic limit external pressure are obtained as

\[
b_{cr} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sigma_{01}} \right) E_{t} \left[ \frac{E_{t} (c - b') E_{t} (2b' M_{t} + c' N_{t}) + (b' - a') E_{t} (2b' M_{t} + c' N_{t})}{E_{t} (c - b') E_{t} (2b' M_{t} + c' N_{t})} \right]
\]

(34)

\[
P_{e} = \frac{2 \sigma_{ij}}{3} \left[ \frac{b' - a'}{a'} + \frac{c' (b' - a')}{a'} \right] E_{t} \left[ \frac{E_{t} (c - b') E_{t} (2b' M_{t} + c' N_{t}) + (b' - a') E_{t} (2b' M_{t} + c' N_{t})}{E_{t} (c - b') E_{t} (2b' M_{t} + c' N_{t})} \right]
\]

(35)

The choice \( b = b_{cr} \) leads to plastic flow in both layers (at \( r = a \) and \( r = b \)) simultaneously. Yielding commences at the interface, \( r = b \), for the values of \( b \) less than \( b_{cr} \). In case \( b > b_{cr} \) or when \( b_{cr} \) does not exist, plastic flow begins at the inner surface \( r = a \). The corresponding elastic limit pressure turns out to be

\[
P_{e} = \frac{2 \sigma_{ij}}{3b} \left[ \frac{b' - a'}{a'} + \frac{c' (b' - a')}{a'} \right] E_{t} \left[ \frac{E_{t} (c - b') E_{t} (2b' M_{t} + c' N_{t}) + (b' - a') E_{t} (2b' M_{t} + c' N_{t})}{E_{t} (c - b') E_{t} (2b' M_{t} + c' N_{t})} \right]
\]

(36)

Like in internal pressure case, equations above for the integration constants and critical pressures can be reduced to the corresponding equations of a single layer assembly under external pressure.

3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A composite system consisting of steel inner (\( E = 200 \) GPa, \( \nu = 0.3, \sigma_{0} = 430 \) MPa) and aluminum outer (\( E = 70 \) GPa, \( \nu = 0.35, \sigma_{0} = 100 \) MPa) layers is considered. To present the numerical results the following non-dimensional variables are used:

\[
\bar{r} = \frac{r}{c} ; \quad \bar{\sigma}_{j} = \frac{\sigma_{j}}{\sigma_{01}} ; \quad \bar{u} = \frac{u E_{i}}{\sigma_{01} c} ; \quad \bar{P} = \frac{P}{\sigma_{01}}
\]

(37)

3.1 Assembly Subject to Internal Pressure

The inner radius of the assembly is taken as \( a = a/c = 0.75 \). In case the assembly subject to internal pressure, the critical interface radius and the corresponding critical elastic limit pressure are calculated as \( \bar{b}_{cr} = b_{cr} / c = 0.895058 \) and \( \bar{P}_{e} = 0.318305 \) using Eqs. (26) and (27), respectively. If these values are substituted in Eqs. (19)-(22) the dimensionless integration constants are obtained as \( \bar{C}_{i} = C_{i} / c^{3} = 3.93047 \times 10^{-4}, \bar{C}_{2} = C_{2} / c^{3} = 2.99591 \times 10^{-4}, \bar{C}_{3} = C_{3} / c^{4} = 4.60965 \times 10^{-4} \) and \( \bar{C}_{4} = C_{4} / c^{3} = 2.04873 \times 10^{-4} \). The corresponding stresses and displacement are plotted against the nondimensional radial coordinate in Figure 3(a). The radial stress and displacement are continuous at the interface satisfying interface conditions, but since the layers are made of different materials the tangential stress is discontinuous. It is also shown in this figure that the stress component \( \sigma_{\theta} \) is tensile whereas \( \sigma_{r} \) is compressive. By following the variation of the
nondimensional stress variable $\lambda_4$ in Figure 3(a), it is seen that yielding commences simultaneously at the inner surfaces of both layers. For the same assembly, assigning the interface radius $\overline{b} = 0.85 < \overline{b}_c$, and using Eq.(27), the elastic limit internal pressure is obtained as $\overline{P}_e = 0.235399$. The corresponding integration constants are $\overline{C}_1 = 3.30663 \times 10^4$, $\overline{C}_2 = 2.79891 \times 10^4$, $\overline{C}_3 = 3.94795 \times 10^4$ and $\overline{C}_4 = 1.75464 \times 10^4$. The distribution of stresses and displacement in the spherical pressure vessel is given in Figure 3(b). It is seen in this figure that yielding commences at the interface of the two layers since $\lambda_4(b) = 1$. For $\overline{b} = 0.95 > \overline{b}_c$, Eq. (29) gives $\overline{P}_e = 0.356688$ and from Eqs. (19)-(22) $\overline{C}_1 = 3.93047 \times 10^4$, $\overline{C}_2 = 2.66582 \times 10^4$, $\overline{C}_3 = 4.50096 \times 10^4$ and $\overline{C}_4 = 2.00043 \times 10^4$ are obtained. As a result, the profiles for the stresses and displacement shown in Figure 3(c) are drawn. Since $\lambda_4(a) = 1$, yielding first begins at the inner surface of the assembly. Finally, the variation of the elastic limit internal pressure $\overline{P}_e$ with the interface radius $\overline{b}$ for steel-aluminum assembly of inner radius $\overline{a} = 0.75$ is plotted in Figure 4. Here, $\overline{b} = \overline{a} = 0.75$ implies a single aluminum spherical pressure vessel under internal pressure and the turning point of the curve corresponds to $\overline{b} = \overline{b}_c$.

Figure 3(b). Stresses and displacement in a steel-aluminum spherical pressure vessel of inner radius $\overline{a} = 0.75$ subject to internal pressure at elastic limit internal pressure for $\overline{b} = 0.85$, $\overline{P}_e = 0.235399$

Figure 3(c). Stresses and displacement in a steel-aluminum spherical pressure vessel of inner radius $\overline{a} = 0.75$ subject to internal pressure at elastic limit internal pressure for $\overline{b} = 0.95$, $\overline{P}_e = 0.356688$. 

Figure 3(a). Stresses and displacement in a steel-aluminum spherical pressure vessel of inner radius $\overline{a} = 0.75$ subject to internal pressure at elastic limit internal pressure for $\overline{b} = \overline{b}_e = 0.895058$ and $\overline{P}_e = 0.318305$. 

Figure 3(b). Stresses and displacement in a steel-aluminum spherical pressure vessel of inner radius $\overline{a} = 0.75$ subject to internal pressure at elastic limit internal pressure for $\overline{b} = 0.85$, $\overline{P}_e = 0.235399$.
3.2 Assembly Subject to External Pressure

In order to express the response of the two-layer spherical pressure vessels under external pressure, a steel-aluminum assembly is considered again. The inner radius is taken as \( \bar{a} = 0.7 \) and with the help of Eq. (34) the critical interface radius for this system is calculated as \( \bar{b}_{cr} = 0.776302 \). Using Eq. (35) for cases \( \bar{b} < \bar{b}_{cr} \) and \( \bar{b} = \bar{b}_{cr} \) and Eq. (36) for \( \bar{b} > \bar{b}_{cr} \) and Eqs. (30)-(33) for all, calculations are performed for three different interface radii and the results are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Results of calculations for \( \bar{a} = 0.7 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \bar{b} )</th>
<th>( \bar{b}_{cr} )</th>
<th>( \bar{b}_{cr} )</th>
<th>( \bar{b}_{cr} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( P_e )</td>
<td>-0.194742</td>
<td>0.260397</td>
<td>0.284579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{C}_{11} )</td>
<td>-2.69487 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>-3.19562 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>-3.19562 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{C}_{12} )</td>
<td>-4.83492 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>-5.73333 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>-5.73333 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{C}_{13} )</td>
<td>-2.71205 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>-3.00751 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>-2.80717 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{C}_{44} )</td>
<td>-4.79418 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>-6.13541 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>-6.49202 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The stresses and displacement corresponding to \( \bar{b} = 0.75 \), \( \bar{b} = \bar{b}_{cr} = 0.776302 \) and \( \bar{b} = 0.8 \) at their elastic limit external pressures are calculated and plotted in Figures 5(a), (b) and (c), respectively. As seen in these figures, both stress components are compressive. Furthermore, yielding commences in the assembly of interface radius \( \bar{b} = 0.75 \) in the outer layer (Figure 5(a)), simultaneously in both layers for \( \bar{b} = \bar{b}_{cr} = 0.776302 \) (Figure 5(b)) and in the inner layer for \( \bar{b} = 0.8 \) (Figure 5(c)). Finally, the variation of elastic limit external pressure \( P_e \) with the interface radius \( \bar{b} \) can be seen in Figure 6.
performed in this study concerning the yielding of two-layer spherical pressure vessels under pressure. In a single layer spherical pressure vessel, the inner surface is critical regardless of internal or external pressure is applied and yielding commences at this location when the pressure reaches its elastic limit. However, in two-layer composite spherical pressure vessels, depending on the material properties and interface radius, yielding may begin in the inner layer or in the outer layer or simultaneously in both layers. A critical interface radius \( b_{cr} \) leading to plastic flow simultaneously in both layers may be found. The existence of \( b_{cr} \) mainly depends on the material properties of the layers. The plastic flow starts from the inner layer at \( r = a \) if \( b > b_{cr} \), it yields in the outer layer at the interface otherwise. In case \( b_{cr} \) does not exist, the assembly behaves like a single layer spherical pressure vessel.

**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

- \( a, b, c \) inner, interface and outer radii of the spherical pressure vessel assembly, respectively
- \( C_i \) integration constants
- \( E \) modulus of elasticity
- \( P \) pressure
- \( r, \theta, \phi \) spherical coordinates
- \( S_{ij} \) deviatoric stress tensor
- \( u \) radial displacement
- \( \varepsilon_i \) strain components
- \( \nu \) Poisson’s ratio
- \( \sigma_i \) stress components
- \( \sigma_0, \sigma_Y \) initial and subsequent yield stress
- \( \sigma \) deviatoric stress
- \( \lambda_Y \) nondimensional stress variable
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